UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

Jerry Forward,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Equifax Information Services LLC, Trans Union LLC, and Nationstar Mortgage LLC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-2129

With Jury Demand Endorsed

COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE:

Plaintiff, Jerry Forward ("Plaintiff"), by and through counsel, for Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendants Equifax Information Services LLC, Trans Union LLC, and Nationstar Mortgage LLC, jointly, severally, and in solido, state as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Two of the Defendants, Equifax Information Services LLC, and Trans Union LLC are consumer reporting agencies ("CRAs") as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f), and the other Defendant, Nationstar Mortgage LLC, is a furnisher of consumer information. All Defendants have violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., known as the Fair Credit Reporting Act (the "FCRA"). Plaintiff seeks to recover from Defendants actual, statutory, and punitive damages, injunctive relief, legal fees, and expenses.

II. PARTIES

2. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Missouri City, Texas, Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by the FCRA at 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c), and Plaintiff is a victim of repeated false credit reporting.

Made Defendants herein are:

- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Equifax Information Services LLC, which may also hereinafter be referred to as "Equifax," "Defendant," "Defendants," "CRA," "CRA Defendant," or "CRA Defendants" is a Georgia limited liability company that does substantial business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its headquarters, 1550 Peachtree Street, Northwest, Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Equifax is a nationwide consumer reporting agency ("CRA") as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Equifax regularly engages in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purposes of furnishing "consumer reports" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) to third parties. Equifax disburses such consumer reports to third parties of contract for monetary compensation.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Trans Union LLC, which may also hereinafter be referred to as "Trans Union", "Defendant," "Defendants," "CRA," "CRA Defendants" is an Illinois limited liability company that does business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its headquarters, 555 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60681. Trans Union is a nationwide CRA as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Trans Union regularly engages in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purposes of furnishing "consumer reports" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) to third parties. Trans Union disburses such consumer reports

to third parties of contract for monetary compensation.

- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Nationstar Mortgage, LLC or Mr. Cooper, which may also hereinafter be referred to as "Nationstar," "Defendant," "Defendants," "Furnisher Defendant," or "Furnisher Defendants," is a Delaware limited liability company that does substantial business in this judicial district and may be served by delivering a summons to its Legal Department at its headquarters, 8950 Cypress Waters Blvd., Coppell, Texas 75019. Nationstar is a "person," as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b), and a furnisher of consumer credit information to consumer reporting agencies.
- 6. As used herein, "consumer reporting agency," or "CRA," means any person which, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports (commonly referred to as "credit reports") to third parties, and which uses any means or facility of interstate commerce for the purpose of preparing or furnishing consumer reports and is an entity in the business of collecting, maintaining and disseminating information regarding the credit-worthiness of individuals. CRAs specifically include, but are not limited to, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Plaintiff respectfully asserts that this Honorable Court has jurisdiction in this case arising under federal law. 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 1334, and 1367 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681(p). Plaintiff also asserts actions under states' laws which may be brought within the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court and Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court exercise supplemental jurisdiction over said claims. 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

- 8. Venue is proper in this District, because the CRA Defendants and Furnisher Defendant transact business in this District. Furnisher Defendant's headquarters is located in this judicial district, a substantial part of the conduct complained of occurred in this district, and various actions made basis of Plaintiff's claims against Defendants occurred in the Northern District of Texas as further described. 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
- 9. Venue is further proper in this District, because the CRA Defendants entered into agreements with Furnisher Defendant in this judicial district to receive credit reporting data concerning Plaintiff. Any and all requests to investigate Plaintiff's dispute sent from the CRA Defendants as part of Defendants' reinvestigations were submitted to Furnisher Defendant's headquarters and investigated by Furnisher Defendant using its resources located at or closely connected to this judicial district. Furnisher Defendant managed Plaintiff's mortgage from this judicial district including communicating amounts owed and conducting numerous communications via phone and letter.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. In or around July 2013, Plaintiff secured a mortgage loan for Plaintiff's primary residence.
- 11. Upon information and belief, sometime thereafter, Plaintiff's mortgage was sold to, transferred to, purchased by, or otherwise acquired by Furnisher Defendant and was assigned loan number 216902*** (hereinafter the "Nationstar mortgage" or "Nationstar account" or "Nationstar tradeline").
- 12. On or around July 12, 2018, Plaintiff filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 13 of Title 11.

- 13. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy docket report for Plaintiff's bankruptcy case is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 14. On December 28, 2023, the Plaintiff was discharged from Chapter 13 Bankruptcy and excepted from discharge Plaintiff's residential home mortgage—the Nationstar mortgage.
- 15. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's chapter 13 Discharge Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by reference.
- 16. Read in concert, Sections 1322(a)(2), 1322(b)(5), and 1328(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, bar discharging home mortgage debts in a chapter 13 bankruptcy.
- 17. Throughout Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy, under direct or indirect order from the bankruptcy, timely monthly mortgage payments were made to the Nationstar mortgage account(s).
- 18. To this day, Plaintiff still lives in the home and continues to make timely mortgage payments to the Nationstar mortgage, and this has been the same and one single loan the entire time since it was taken out in July 2013.
- 19. Sometime in May 2024, Plaintiff pulled Plaintiff's credit reports for the major credit reporting agencies—Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union. Within the reports, Plaintiff noticed that there were reporting inaccuracies as they pertained to the Nationstar mortgage account within Plaintiff's credit reports for the major credit reporting agencies—Equifax, Experian, and/or Trans Union.
- 20. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's May 2024 tri-merge credit report is attached hereto as Exhibit "C".
- 21. Within the Tri-Merge credit report, Plaintiff noticed that Equifax reported the following inaccuracies for Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage: Equifax failed to update the reporting

of the Nationstar mortgage after Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy was discharged; reported an account status of "derogatory"; and reported within the creditor remarks section references to Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy, despite the Plaintiff having been discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy, still residing in the home, and making payments on the property before, during, and after Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy.

- 22. This reporting is incorrect because Plaintiff completed the required payments through Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy, was successfully discharged, and excepted Plaintiff's residential home mortgage debt from being discharged; therefore, any remarks and/or references to a chapter 13 bankruptcy should have been removed from the Nationstar mortgage tradeline after the chapter 13 bankruptcy was discharged.¹
- 23. Metro 2 guidelines require furnishers and/or credit reporting agencies to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account filed chapter 13 bankruptcy by first updating the Consumer Information Indicator ("CII") to "D", and then continuing to furnish the monthly payment history information with a value of "D". Once a consumer is discharged, Metro 2 reporting standards specifically instruct furnishers and/or consumer reporting agencies to remove any referencing to a chapter 13 bankruptcy and suppression codes associated with bankruptcy reporting by submitting a CII code of "Q". In following these simple Metro 2 guidelines, which are well regarded as the industry standards, it prevents the reporting of any late payment history during the pendency of a consumer's chapter 13 bankruptcy, and then continued reporting once a consumer is discharged.

¹ The Consumer Data Industry Association's Metro 2 reporting standards specifically instruct the removal of any bankruptcy references or suppression codes associated with bankruptcy reporting so that ongoing payments made by the consumer can be reported.

- 24. Metro 2 guidelines have been adopted by Equifax as the industry standards, and these industry standards are followed by both furnishers and Equifax.
- 25. Within the Tri-Merge credit report, Plaintiff noticed that Trans Union reported the following inaccuracies for Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage: Trans Union failed to update the reporting of the Nationstar mortgage after Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy was discharged; reported an account status of "derogatory"; and reported within the creditor remarks section references to Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy, despite the Plaintiff having been discharged from chapter 13 bankruptcy, still residing in the home, and making payments on the property before, during, and after Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy.
- 26. This reporting is incorrect because Plaintiff completed the required payments through Plaintiff's chapter 13 bankruptcy, was successfully discharged, and excepted Plaintiff's residential home mortgage debt from being discharged; therefore, any remarks and/or references to a chapter 13 bankruptcy should have been removed from the Nationstar mortgage tradeline after the chapter 13 bankruptcy was discharged.²
- 27. Metro 2 guidelines require furnishers and/or credit reporting agencies to update the reporting of an account when the borrower associated to the account filed chapter 13 bankruptcy by first updating the Consumer Information Indicator ("CII") to "D", and then continuing to furnish the monthly payment history information with a value of "D". Once a consumer is discharged, Metro 2 reporting standards specifically instruct furnishers and/or consumer reporting agencies to remove any referencing to a chapter 13 bankruptcy and

² The Consumer Data Industry Association's Metro 2 reporting standards specifically instruct consumer reporting agencies to remove any bankruptcy references or suppression codes associated with bankruptcy reporting so that ongoing payments made by the consumer can be reported.

suppression codes associated with bankruptcy reporting by submitting a CII code of "Q". In following these simple Metro 2 guidelines, which are well regarded as the industry standards, it prevents the reporting of any late payment history during the pendency of a consumer's chapter 13 bankruptcy, and then continued reporting once a consumer is discharged.

- 28. Metro 2 guidelines have been adopted by Trans Union as the industry standards, and these industry standards are followed by both furnishers and Trans Union.
- 29. On or about May 28, 2024, Plaintiff sent direct disputes to the CRA Defendant(s) and requested that the CRAs investigate the reporting of the Nationstar mortgage account, and Plaintiff specifically addressed the above referenced issues with the reporting stated in the above Paragraphs. Plaintiff requested that under the FCRA, the CRAs conduct reasonable investigations and/or remedy the inaccuracies on Plaintiff's credit reports concerning the Nationstar mortgage account.
- 30. Redacted copies of Plaintiff's unsigned dispute letters sent to CRAs are attached as Exhibits "D" and "E", and respectively and incorporated in by reference.
 - 31. Equifax responded to Plaintiff's dispute on July 3, 2024.
- 32. A redacted copy of Equifax's response is attached hereto as Exhibit "F" and incorporated herein by reference.
- 33. Equifax's response showed that Equifax made none of the substantive changes Plaintiff requested in the direct dispute to Equifax, failed to update the Nationstar mortgage account after the chapter 13 bankruptcy was discharged, and apparently deleted the Nationstar mortgage account without explanation or reasons for an outright deletion.
- 34. Equifax's response, or lack thereof, was not the result of a reasonable investigation into Plaintiff's dispute and failed to remedy the inaccuracies within the Nationstar mortgage

account and gave no explanation as to why the Nationstar mortgage account was deleted.

- 35. Plaintiff's inaccurate Equifax consumer report was disseminated to third parties before and/or after the instant dispute.
- 36. Equifax chose to "verify" false information from an unreliable source, failed to correct the inaccurate information, and continued to publish the inaccurate information regarding Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage account.
- 37. Upon the Plaintiff's request to Equifax for verification and correction regarding the Nationstar mortgage account, and in accordance with Equifax's standard procedures, Equifax did not evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence, and did not make any attempt to verify the reporting of the Nationstar mortgage account substantially or reasonably.
- 38. Equifax has adopted the Metro 2 reporting standard, Equifax deviated from the standard based on its conduct in this case, and by Equifax deviating from the adopted standard, Equifax injured Plaintiff's credit standing.
- 39. In the alternative, Equifax failed to contact Furnisher Defendant, therefore, failed to perform any investigation at all.
- 40. In the alternative to the allegation that Equifax failed to contact Furnisher Defendant, it is alleged that Equifax did forward some notice of the dispute to the Furnisher Defendant, and the Furnisher Defendant failed to conduct a lawful investigation.
- 41. Trans Union failed to respond to Plaintiff's dispute, so Plaintiff requested an updated consumer report to see if any changes were made on Plaintiff's Consumer Report.
- 42. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's updated tri-merge credit report is attached hereto as Exhibit "G" and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 43. The updated Consumer Report showed that Trans Union made none of the Page 9 of 25

substantive changes Plaintiff requested in the direct dispute to Trans Union, failed to update the Nationstar mortgage account after the chapter 13 bankruptcy was discharged, and either continued to report references to the chapter 13 bankruptcy on Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage account with a new account number (Loan number: 709499***), or Trans Union deleted the Nationstar mortgage account without explanation or reasons for an outright deletion, and added a "new" Nationstar mortgage account to Plaintiff's Trans Union consumer report.

- 44. Trans Union's response, or lack thereof, was not the result of a reasonable investigation into Plaintiff's dispute and failed to remedy the inaccuracies within the Nationstar mortgage account and gave no explanation as to why either the Nationstar mortgage account was still reporting inaccurately, or it was deleted.
- 45. Plaintiff's inaccurate Trans Union consumer report was disseminated to third parties before and/or after the instant dispute.
- 46. Trans Union chose to "verify" false information from an unreliable source, failed to correct the inaccurate information, and continued to publish the inaccurate information regarding Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage account.
- 47. Upon the Plaintiff's request to Trans Union for verification and correction regarding the Nationstar mortgage account, and in accordance with Trans Union's standard procedures, Trans Union did not evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence, and did not make any attempt to verify the reporting of the Nationstar mortgage account substantially or reasonably.
- 48. Trans Union has adopted the Metro 2 reporting standard, Trans Union deviated from the standard based on its conduct in this case, and by Trans Union deviating from the adopted standard, Trans Union injured Plaintiff's credit standing.

- 49. In the alternative, Trans Union failed to contact Furnisher Defendant, therefore, failed to perform any investigation at all.
- 50. In the alternative to the allegation that Trans Union failed to contact Furnisher Defendant, it is alleged that Trans Union did forward some notice of the dispute to the Furnisher Defendant, and the Furnisher Defendant failed to conduct a lawful investigation.
- 51. As stated above, Plaintiff elected to obtain an updated copy of Plaintiff's credit reports due to CRA Defendants' responses, or lack thereof responses.
- 52. A redacted copy of Plaintiff's July 2024 Tri-Merge credit report is attached hereto as Exhibit "G".
- 53. Based Plaintiff's July 2024 Tri-Merge credit report, and the response Plaintiff did receive from Plaintiff's dispute letters, it was apparent that none of the Defendant(s) made any of the substantive changes Plaintiff requested in the direct dispute to the CRA Defendant(s), failed to accurately update the Nationstar mortgage account after the chapter 13 bankruptcy was discharged, and either continued to report references to the chapter 13 bankruptcy on Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage account, or deleted the original Nationstar mortgage account and added another Nationstar account with a new loan number of 709499***.

V. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

EQUIFAX'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA (15 U.S.C. §1681e(b))

- 54. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.
- 55. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and

credit files it published and maintained concerning the Plaintiff.

- 56. The FCRA mandates that "[w]henever a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 168le(b) (emphasis added).
- 57. Equifax knew or should have known of Plaintiff's bankruptcy status, history, and/or payment history were reporting inaccurately, and yet, Equifax continued to prepare a patently false consumer report concerning Plaintiff.
- 58. Despite actual and implied knowledge that Plaintiff's credit report was and/or is not accurate, Equifax readily provided false reports to one or more third parties, thereby misrepresenting Plaintiff, and ultimately Plaintiff's creditworthiness.
- 59. After Equifax knew or should have known Plaintiff's bankruptcy status, history, and/or payment history were inaccurate for Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage tradeline, it failed to make the corrections as would be required to attain "maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 168le(b).
- damages, including, but not limited to: loss in Plaintiff's ability to finance goods; loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit; emotional anguish, frustration, and annoyance from being deterred from applying for credit; mental anguish, humiliation, anger, frustration, annoyance, and embarrassment as a result of the publication of false information; lost credit capacity and decreased credit scores; damage to reputation; mental anguish, emotional distress, frustration, humiliation, and annoyance as a result of being burdened with a false credit reporting history; lost opportunities to obtain credit in the form of an unspecified number of credit

offers that Plaintiff did not receive because of the false and derogatory information contained in Plaintiff's credit report; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff has on current loans; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff received during this ordeal; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the credit limits Plaintiff has on existing accounts; Plaintiff's spent considerable time, effort, and expense attempting to force Defendant to comply with Defendant's statutory obligations, including but not limited to reviewing information online, telephone calls, emails, writing letters, sending letters, and attempting to decipher letters, reports, and other instruments provided by Defendant; loss of self-esteem because of Defendant's continued persistence in painting Plaintiff in a false light both personally and financially; anxiety when considering seeking additional credit because Plaintiff believes Plaintiff will be forced to be subjected to the humiliation of having to explain the false and defamatory information; and the costs and time Plaintiff has spent trying to repair Plaintiff's credit in light of the damage done to it continuously by Defendant.

- 61. Equifax's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 168ln. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction were negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 62. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

EQUIFAX'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA (15 U.S.C. §1681i)

63. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.

- 64. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i on multiple occasions by failing to update or correct inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's credit file after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies, failing to conduct a lawful reinvestigation, failing to forward all relevant information to furnisher(s), failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in the Plaintiff's credit file, and relying upon verification from a source it has reason to know is unreliable.
- 65. As a result of Equifax's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to: loss in Plaintiff's ability to finance goods; loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit; emotional anguish, frustration, and annoyance from being deterred from applying for credit; mental anguish, humiliation, anger, frustration, annoyance, and embarrassment as a result of the publication of false information; lost credit capacity and decreased credit scores; damage to reputation; mental anguish, emotional distress, frustration, humiliation, and annoyance as a result of being burdened with a false credit reporting history; lost opportunities to obtain credit in the form of an unspecified number of credit offers that Plaintiff did not receive because of the false and derogatory information contained in Plaintiff's credit report; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff has on current loans; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff received during this ordeal; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the credit limits Plaintiff has on existing accounts; Plaintiff's spent considerable time, effort, and expense attempting to force Defendant to comply with Defendant's statutory obligations, including but not limited to reviewing information online, telephone calls, emails, writing letters, sending letters, and attempting to decipher letters, reports, and other instruments provided by Defendant; loss of self-esteem because of Defendant's continued persistence in painting Plaintiff in a false light both

personally and financially; anxiety when considering seeking additional credit because Plaintiff believes Plaintiff will be forced to be subjected to the humiliation of having to explain the false and defamatory information; and the costs and time Plaintiff has spent trying to repair Plaintiff's credit in light of the damage done to it continuously by Defendant.

- 66. Equifax's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction were negligent entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 67. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

TRANS UNION'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA (15 U.S.C. §1681e(b))

- 68. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.
- 69. Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and credit files it published and maintained concerning the Plaintiff.
- 70. The FCRA mandates that "[w]henever a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 168le(b) (emphasis added).
- 71. Trans Union knew or should have known of Plaintiff's bankruptcy status, history, and/or payment history were reporting inaccurately, and yet, Trans Union continued to prepare a

patently false consumer report concerning Plaintiff.

- 72. Despite actual and implied knowledge that Plaintiff's credit report was and/or is not accurate, Trans Union readily provided false reports to one or more third parties, thereby misrepresenting Plaintiff, and ultimately Plaintiff's creditworthiness.
- 73. After Trans Union knew or should have known Plaintiff's bankruptcy status, history, and/or payment history were inaccurate for Plaintiff's Nationstar mortgage tradeline, it failed to make the corrections as would be required to attain "maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 168le(b).
- 74. As a result of Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to: loss in Plaintiff's ability to finance goods; loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit; emotional anguish, frustration, and annoyance from being deterred from applying for credit; mental anguish, humiliation, anger, frustration, annoyance, and embarrassment as a result of the publication of false information; lost credit capacity and decreased credit scores; damage to reputation; mental anguish, emotional distress, frustration, humiliation, and annoyance as a result of being burdened with a false credit reporting history; lost opportunities to obtain credit in the form of an unspecified number of credit offers that Plaintiff did not receive because of the false and derogatory information contained in Plaintiff's credit report; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff has on current loans; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff received during this ordeal; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the credit limits Plaintiff has on existing accounts; Plaintiff's spent considerable time, effort, and expense attempting to force Defendant to comply with Defendant's statutory obligations, including but not limited to reviewing information online, telephone calls, emails, writing letters, sending letters,

and attempting to decipher letters, reports, and other instruments provided by Defendant; loss of self-esteem because of Defendant's continued persistence in painting Plaintiff in a false light both personally and financially; anxiety when considering seeking additional credit because Plaintiff believes Plaintiff will be forced to be subjected to the humiliation of having to explain the false and defamatory information; and the costs and time Plaintiff has spent trying to repair Plaintiff's credit in light of the damage done to it continuously by Defendant.

- 75. Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 168ln. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction were negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 76. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

TRANS UNION'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA (15 U.S.C. §1681i)

- 77. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all paragraphs above as if fully set out herein.
- 78. Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i on multiple occasions by failing to update or correct inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's credit file after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies, failing to conduct a lawful reinvestigation, failing to forward all relevant information to furnisher(s), failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in the Plaintiff's credit file, and relying upon verification from a source it has reason to know is unreliable.
 - 79. As a result of Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered

damages, including, but not limited to: loss in Plaintiff's ability to finance goods; loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit; emotional anguish, frustration, and annoyance from being deterred from applying for credit; mental anguish, humiliation, anger, frustration, annoyance, and embarrassment as a result of the publication of false information; lost credit capacity and decreased credit scores; damage to reputation; mental anguish, emotional distress, frustration, humiliation, and annoyance as a result of being burdened with a false credit reporting history; lost opportunities to obtain credit in the form of an unspecified number of credit offers that Plaintiff did not receive because of the false and derogatory information contained in Plaintiff's credit report; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff has on current loans; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff received during this ordeal; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the credit limits Plaintiff has on existing accounts; Plaintiff's spent considerable time, effort, and expense attempting to force Defendant to comply with Defendant's statutory obligations, including but not limited to reviewing information online, telephone calls, emails, writing letters, sending letters, and attempting to decipher letters, reports, and other instruments provided by Defendant; loss of self-esteem because of Defendant's continued persistence in painting Plaintiff in a false light both personally and financially; anxiety when considering seeking additional credit because Plaintiff believes Plaintiff will be forced to be subjected to the humiliation of having to explain the false and defamatory information; and the costs and time Plaintiff has spent trying to repair Plaintiff's credit in light of the damage done to it continuously by Defendant.

80. Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, such conduct, action, and inaction were negligent

entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

81. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorney's fees from Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 1681o.

NATIONSTAR'S VIOLATION OF THE FCRA (15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b))

- 82. Furnisher Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct reasonable investigations upon receiving notice of Plaintiff's dispute(s) from one or more consumer reporting agencies, and/or failing to appropriately report the results of their investigations, and/or failing to appropriately modify the information.
- 83. Furnisher Defendant further violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by continuing to report the Nationstar mortgage within Plaintiff's credit files with the CRA Defendants without also including a notation that this debt was disputed, failing to fully and properly investigate the Plaintiff's dispute of the Nationstar mortgage, failing to accurately respond to the CRA Defendants, failing to correctly report results of an accurate investigation to every other consumer reporting agency, and failing to permanently and lawfully correct its own internal records to prevent the re-reporting of inaccurate information to the Nationstar mortgage within the consumer reporting agencies reports.
- 84. As a result of Furnisher Defendant's conduct, action, and inaction, the Plaintiff suffered damages, including, but not limited to: loss in Plaintiff's ability to finance goods; loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit; emotional anguish, frustration, and annoyance from being deterred from applying for credit; mental anguish, humiliation, anger, frustration, annoyance, and embarrassment as a result of the publication of false information; lost credit capacity and decreased credit scores; damage to reputation; mental anguish, emotional

distress, frustration, humiliation, and annoyance as a result of being burdened with a false credit reporting history; lost opportunities to obtain credit in the form of an unspecified number of credit offers that Plaintiff did not receive because of the false and derogatory information contained in Plaintiff's credit report; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff has on current loans; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff received during this ordeal; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the credit limits Plaintiff has on existing accounts; Plaintiff's spent considerable time, effort, and expense attempting to force Defendant to comply with Defendant's statutory obligations, including but not limited to reviewing information online, telephone calls, emails, writing letters, sending letters, and attempting to decipher letters, reports, and other instruments provided by Defendant; loss of self-esteem because of Defendant's continued persistence in painting Plaintiff in a false light both personally and financially; anxiety when considering seeking additional credit because Plaintiff believes Plaintiff will be forced to be subjected to the humiliation of having to explain the false and defamatory information; and the costs and time Plaintiff has spent trying to repair Plaintiff's credit in light of the damage done to it continuously by Defendant.

85. Furnisher Defendant's conduct, action, and inaction, were willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

VI. VICARIOUS LIABILITY/RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

86. Plaintiff will be able to show, after reasonable discovery, that all actions at issue were taken by employees, agents, servants, or representatives, of any type, for Defendants, the principals, within the line and scope of such individuals' (or entities') express or implied authority,

through employment, agency, or representation, which imputes liability to Defendants for all such actions under the doctrine of respondent superior and/or vicarious liability.

VII. DAMAGES

- 87. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court instruct the jury, as the trier of facts, that in addition to actual or compensatory damages, punitive or exemplary damages may be awarded against the Defendants under the provisions of the FCRA and/or states' laws, including Texas.
- 88. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award Plaintiff's litigation expenses and other costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees incurred in this litigation, in accordance with the provisions of the FCRA and/or other laws.
- 89. The above and foregoing actions, inactions, and fault of Defendants, as to each and every claim, have proximately caused a wide variety of damages to Plaintiff.
- 90. Defendants performed perfunctory and essentially useless reinvestigations resulting in the verification of false reportings about the Plaintiff and have been a substantial factor in causing credit denials and other damages.
- 91. Plaintiff suffered a variety of damages, including economic and non-economic damages as prayed for herein.
- 92. Defendants have negligently and/or willfully violated various provisions of the FCRA and are thereby liable unto Plaintiff.
- 93. Defendants are liable unto Plaintiff for all actual, statutory, exemplary and punitive damages awarded in this case, as well as other demands and claims asserted herein including, but not limited to: out-of-pocket expenses; credit denials; loss in Plaintiff's ability to finance goods; loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit; emotional

anguish, frustration, and annoyance from being deterred from applying for credit; mental anguish, humiliation, anger, frustration, annoyance, and embarrassment as a result of the publication of false information; lost credit capacity and decreased credit scores; damage to reputation; mental anguish, emotional distress, frustration, humiliation, and annoyance as a result of being burdened with a false credit reporting history; lost opportunities to obtain credit in the form of an unspecified number of credit offers that Plaintiff did not receive because of the false and derogatory information contained in Plaintiff's credit report; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff has on current loans; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the interest rates Plaintiff received during this ordeal; Plaintiff's lowered credit score may have impacted the credit limits Plaintiff has on existing accounts; Plaintiff's spent considerable time, effort, and expense attempting to force Defendants to comply with Defendants' statutory obligations, including but not limited to reviewing information online, telephone calls, emails, writing letters, sending letters, and attempting to decipher letters, reports, and other instruments provided by Defendants; loss of self-esteem because of Defendants' continued persistence in painting Plaintiff in a false light both personally and financially; anxiety when considering seeking additional credit because Plaintiff believes Plaintiff will be forced to be subjected to the humiliation of having to explain the false and defamatory information; the costs and time Plaintiff has spent trying to repair Plaintiff's credit in light of the damage done to it continuously by Defendants; attorney's fees; court costs; and other assessments proper by law and any and all other applicable federal and state laws, together with legal interest thereon from date of judicial demand until paid.

WHEREFORE PREMESIS CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court:

A. Enter Judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants Equifax Information

Page 22 of 25

Services LLC, Trans Union LLC, and Nationstar Mortgage LLC, jointly, severally, and in solido,

for all reasonable damages sustained by Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, actual damages,

compensatory damages, out-of-pocket expenses, credit denials, costs and time of repairing

Plaintiff's credit, pain and suffering, embarrassment, inconvenience, lost economic opportunity,

loss of incidental time, frustration, emotional distress, mental anguish, and fear of personal and

financial safety and security for Defendants' violations of the FCRA, applicable state law, and

common law;

B. Find that the appropriate circumstances exist for an award of punitive damages to

Plaintiff;

C. Award Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law;

D. Order that CRA Defendants, Equifax Information Services LLC, and Trans Union

LLC, and Furnisher Defendant, Nationstar Mortgage LLC, work in conjunction, cooperatively,

and/or individually to reinvestigate and correct the consumer report(s), credit report(s), data

emanations, consumer histories, and credit histories of and concerning Plaintiff and/or any of

Plaintiff's personal identifiers.

E. Grant such other and further relief, in law or equity, to which Plaintiff might show

Plaintiff is justly entitled.

Date Filed: August 20, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Blake R. Bauer

Blake R. Bauer

MN Bar Number 0396262

FCRA-TX@fieldslaw.com

FIELDS LAW FIRM

Page 23 of 25

9999 Wayzata Blvd. Minnetonka, Minnesota 55305 (612) 206-3489 (telephone) (612) 370-4256 (fax)

Lead Counsel for Plaintiff

By: /s/ Jonathan A. Heeps
Jonathan A. Heeps
State Bar No. 24074387
LAW OFFICE OF JONATHAN A. HEEPS
Post Office Box 174372
Arlington, Texas 76003
Telephone (682) 738-6415
Fax (844) 738-6416
jaheeps@heepslaw.com

Local Counsel for Plaintiff

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.